Interview Summary	Application I	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/479,982	9,982 GAUDREAU ET AL.		AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Roberto J Rio	s	2836		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Roberto J Rios.	(3)				
(2) Roy Coleman.	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>09 February 2004</u> .					
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
	٠.				
		•			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	1	Examiner's signa	ature, if required		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Coleman contacted the Examiner to point out that the period to respond to the last final rejection was incorrectly indicated in the Advisory action mailed on 01/29/2004. Applicant mailed the response within two months of the final rejection. The 2-month period ended on Saturday, December 6, 2003. The response has a certificate of mailing indicating the response was filed on Monday, December 8, 2003. Mr Coleman also had a question about the new issues arising from the After-final amendments filed on the response. The Examiner clarified his statement.